

The nature and timing of Palaeoproterozoic sedimentation at the southeastern margin of the Congo Craton; zircon U–Pb geochronology of plutonic, volcanic and clastic units in northern Zambia

B. De Waele*, I.C.W. Fitzsimons

*Tectonics Special Research Centre, Department of Applied Geology, Curtin University of Technology,
GPO Box U1987, Perth, WA 6845, Australia*

Received 10 February 2007; received in revised form 29 May 2007; accepted 7 June 2007

Abstract

This study is focused on the determination of the age and provenance of Proterozoic (meta-)sedimentary units on the southern margin of the Congo Craton, collectively known as the Muva Supergroup. Zircon U–Pb SHRIMP dating of crystalline basement units (granite and volcanic tuffs) that form the basement to the Muva Supergroup have yielded crystallisation ages of between 1868 ± 7 and 1860 ± 13 Ma. Discrete volcanoclastic units within the deformed portions of the Muva Supergroup in the Irumide Belt have yielded crystallisation ages of between 1879 ± 13 and 1856 ± 4 Ma. Detrital zircon studies in three samples of quartzite and one conglomerate from the various components of the Muva Supergroup, the Mporokoso Group on the Bangweulu Block and the Kanona and Manshya River groups in the Irumide Orogen on the southeastern margin of the Bangweulu Block, have yielded populations of Archaean and Palaeoproterozoic zircons in which the youngest detrital grains have an age of ca. 1800 Ma. These data indicate that the Muva Supergroup is a Palaeoproterozoic succession deposited at ca. 1800 Ma and that the Mporokoso, Kanona and Manshya River groups are all temporally equivalent and are likely parts of a single widespread succession. In contrast, the youngest detrital zircon in the Kasama Formation, a discrete succession of mature fluvial quartzite on the Bangweulu Block, has yielded an age of 1434 ± 14 Ma, indicating that it is a younger Mesoproterozoic succession. A striking similarity between the detrital zircon populations in the Kasama Formation and those in the Mporokoso Group support previous sedimentological interpretations that it is a second-cycle sediment derived from the Mporokoso Group.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Muva Supergroup; Palaeoproterozoic; Bangweulu Block; Ubendian Belt; Irumide Belt; Detrital provenance; U–Pb SHRIMP

1. Introduction

Three important supracrustal successions in central southern Africa are the Palaeo- to Mesoproterozoic

Muva Supergroup, the Neoproterozoic Katanga Supergroup, and the Mesozoic to Caenozoic Karoo Supergroup. The latter two successions have received much attention, in part because they host significant economic deposits, but the Muva Supergroup has not been studied in detail, despite its potential for gold mineralisation (Andrews-Speed and Unrug, 1982; Andrews-Speed, 1986, 1989; Kasolo, 1984). The term “Muva Supergroup” was introduced by Daly and Unrug (1982), to

* Corresponding author. Present address: The British Geological Survey, Kingsley Dunham Centre, Keyworth, Nottingham, NG12 5GG, United Kingdom.

E-mail address: bdewaele@bgs.ac.uk (B. De Waele).